## ITERATIONS OF $\omega_1$ -COHEN FORCING

## CHRIS LAMBIE-HANSON

The purpose of this note is to prove that, over a model of CH, iterations of  $\omega_1$ -Cohen forcing with  $\omega_1$ -support are  $\omega_1$ -proper. In particular, these iterations preserve  $\omega_2$ . We first recall the relevant definitions.

**Definition** Let  $\theta$  be a sufficiently large, regular cardinal, and let  $\mathbb{P} \in H(\theta)$  be a poset. We say  $N \prec H(\theta)$  is relevant for  $\mathbb{P}$  if:

- $|N| = \aleph_1$ .
- $\bullet$   $\dot{\omega}N\subseteq N.$
- $N = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} N_{\alpha}$ , where  $\langle N_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  is an internally approachable chain of countable elementary substructures of  $H(\theta)$ .

**Definition** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a poset, and let N be relevant for  $\mathbb{P}$ .  $q \in \mathbb{P}$  is  $(N, \mathbb{P})$ -generic if, for all dense, open sets D of  $\mathbb{P}$  such that  $D \in N$ ,  $q \Vdash "\dot{G}_{\mathbb{P}} \cap D \cap N \neq \emptyset$ ".

**Definition**  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\omega_1$ -proper if, for all sufficiently large, regular  $\theta$ , for all  $N \prec H(\theta)$  relevant for  $\mathbb{P}$ , and for all  $p \in \mathbb{P} \cap N$ , there is  $q \leq p$  such that q is  $(N, \mathbb{P})$ -generic.

For us,  $\omega_1$ -Cohen forcing refers to the poset whose conditions are functions  $s: \alpha \to 2$ , where  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . A condition in an iteration of  $\omega_1$ -Cohen forcing of length  $\gamma$  with  $\omega_1$ -support is a function whose domain is a subset of  $\gamma$  of size  $\leq \omega_1$ . We will need the following Lemma.

**Lemma 0.1.** Let  $\gamma$  be an ordinal, and let  $\mathbb{P}_{\gamma}$  be an iteration of  $\omega_1$ -Cohen forcing of length  $\gamma$  with  $\omega_1$ -support. Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\gamma}$ , and let F be a countable subset of dom(p). There is  $q \leq p$  such that, for every  $\beta \in F$ , there is  $\alpha_{\beta} < \omega_1$  and  $s_{\beta} : \alpha_{\beta} \to 2$  such that  $q \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash$  " $q(\beta) = s_{\beta}$ ".

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $\gamma$ . The lemma is trivially true for  $\gamma=1$ . Suppose  $\gamma=\eta+1$ . We may assume  $\eta\in F$ . First, extend  $p\upharpoonright \eta$  to  $r\in \mathbb{P}_\eta$  such that, for some  $\alpha_\eta<\omega_1$  and  $s_\eta:\alpha_\eta\to 2$ ,  $r\Vdash "p(\eta)=s_\eta"$ . Then, using the inductive hypothesis, extend r to t such that, for all  $\beta\in F\cap \eta$ , there is  $\alpha_\beta<\omega_1$  and  $s_\beta:\alpha_\beta\to 2$  such that  $t\upharpoonright\beta\Vdash "t(\beta)=s_\beta"$ . Then  $t^\frown p(\eta)$  is as desired.

Now suppose  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal of countable cofinality. Let  $\langle \gamma_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  be an increasing sequence of ordinals cofinal in  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma_0 = 0$ . We build a sequence  $\langle p_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that  $p_n \in \mathbb{P}_{\gamma_n}$  and  $\langle p_n \cap p \upharpoonright [\gamma_n, \gamma) \mid n < \omega \rangle$  is decreasing in  $\mathbb{P}_{\gamma}$ . We ensure that, for every  $n < \omega$  and every  $\beta \in F \cap \gamma_n$ , there is  $\alpha_{\beta,n} < \omega_1$  and  $s_{\beta,n} : \alpha_{\beta,n} \to 2$  such that  $p_n \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash "p_n(\beta) = s_{\beta,n}"$ . This is easily achieved by the inductive hypothesis. Now let q be the greatest lower bound of the sequence  $\langle p_n \cap p \upharpoonright [\gamma_n, \gamma) \mid n < \omega \rangle$ . Letting  $\alpha_\beta = \sup(\{\alpha_{\beta,n} \mid n < \omega\})$  and  $s_\beta = \bigcup_{n < \omega} s_{\beta,n}$  for all  $\beta \in F$ , it is easily seen that q is as desired.

Finally, suppose  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal of uncountable cofinality. Then there is  $\eta < \gamma$  such that  $F \subseteq \eta$ . We can then finish by applying the inductive hypothesis to  $p \upharpoonright \eta$ .

**Theorem 0.2.** Assume CH. Let  $\gamma$  be an ordinal, and let  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\gamma}$  be an iteration of  $\omega_1$ -Cohen forcing of length  $\gamma$  with  $\omega_1$ -support. Then  $\mathbb{P}_{\gamma}$  is  $\omega_1$ -proper.

*Proof.* The proof is an adaptation of an argument of Kanamori in [1]. Assume  $\Diamond$ holds in V. (Since  $\diamondsuit$  is added by  $\omega_1$ -Cohen forcing, this is not really an additional assumption). Let  $\bar{A} = \langle A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  be a  $\Diamond$ -sequence guessing subsets of  $\omega_1 \times \omega_1$ , i.e., for each  $\alpha < \omega_1, A_\alpha \subseteq (\alpha \times \alpha)$ , and for all  $X \subseteq (\omega_1 \times \omega_1)$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $X \cap (\alpha \times \alpha) = A_{\alpha}$ .

**Definition** If  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and F is a countable subset of dom(p), we say  $q \leq_F p$  if  $q \leq p$ and, for all  $\beta \in F$ ,  $q(\beta) = p(\beta)$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a sufficiently large, regular cardinal, and let  $N \prec H(\theta)$  be relevant for  $\mathbb{P}$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{P} \cap N$ . We will find  $q \leq p$  such that q is  $(N, \mathbb{P})$ -generic.

Let  $\langle D_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  enumerate all dense open subsets of  $\mathbb{P}$  that lie in N. We will build a decreasing sequence  $\langle p_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  of conditions in  $\mathbb{P} \cap N$ . We will also beforehand fix a bookkeeping device that will give us a sequence of countable sets  $\langle F_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ , functions  $\langle g_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ , and ordinals  $\langle \eta_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  such that:

- $F_{\alpha} \subseteq \text{dom}(p_{\alpha})$ .
- $g_{\alpha}: F_{\alpha} \to \eta_{\alpha}$  is a bijection and  $\eta_{\alpha} \geq \alpha$ .
- If  $\alpha < \beta$ , then  $F_{\alpha} \subseteq F_{\beta}$  and  $g_{\alpha} \subseteq g_{\beta}$ .
- If  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal, then  $F_{\beta} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} F_{\alpha}$ .
- $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} F_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \operatorname{dom}(p_{\alpha}).$

In our construction, we will ensure that, if  $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ , then  $p_{\beta} \leq_{F_{\alpha}} p_{\alpha}$ . This will allow us to find a lower bound for the sequence  $\langle p_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ .

Let  $p_0 = p$ . If  $\beta < \omega_1$  is a limit ordinal, let  $p_\beta$  be the greatest lower bound of  $\langle p_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \beta \rangle$ . Now suppose  $p_{\alpha}$  has been defined. Assume that  $\eta_{\alpha} = \alpha$ . (This happens for a club of  $\alpha$ . If it is not the case, then let  $p_{\alpha+1} = p_{\alpha}$ .) Now define a function  $\sigma_{\alpha}: F_{\alpha} \to {}^{\alpha}2$  as follows: if  $\beta \in F_{\alpha}$  and  $\delta < \alpha$ , then let

$$(\sigma_{\alpha}(\beta))(\delta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (g_{\alpha}(\beta), \delta) \in A_{\alpha} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now ask whether there is  $r \leq p_{\alpha}$  such that:

- $r \in \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} D_{\beta}$ . For all  $\beta \in F_{\alpha}$ ,  $r \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash "r(\beta) = \sigma_{\alpha}(\beta)"$ .

Let  $r_{\alpha}$  be such an r if it exists (if not, just let  $p_{\alpha+1} = p_{\alpha}$ ). Note that, by elementarity, exploiting the fact that N is closed under countable sequences, we may assume that  $r_{\alpha} \in N$ . We now define  $p_{\alpha+1}$  to resemble  $r_{\alpha}$  as closely as possible while requiring that  $p_{\alpha+1} \leq_{F_{\alpha}} p_{\alpha}$ . Namely, we let  $dom(p_{\alpha+1}) = dom(r_{\alpha})$ . For  $\beta \in F_{\alpha}, \ p_{\alpha+1}(\beta) = p_{\alpha}(\beta).$  If  $\beta \in \text{dom}(p_{\alpha}) \setminus F_{\alpha}$ , then  $p_{\alpha+1}(\beta)$  is a name such that  $r_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash "p_{\alpha+1}(\beta) = r_{\alpha}(\beta)"$  and, if  $c \leq p_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta$  is incompatible with  $r_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta$ ,  $c \Vdash "p_{\alpha+1}(\beta) = p_{\alpha}(\beta)"$ . If  $\beta \in \text{dom}(r_{\alpha}) \setminus \text{dom}(p_{\alpha})$ , then  $p_{\alpha+1}(\beta)$  is a name such that  $r_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash "p_{\alpha+1}(\beta) = r_{\alpha}(\beta)"$  and, if  $c \leq p_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta$  is incompatible with  $r_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta$ ,  $c \Vdash "p_{\alpha+1}(\beta) = \emptyset"$ . It is clear that  $p_{\alpha+1} \leq_{F_{\alpha}} p_{\alpha}$  and, since everything needed to define  $p_{\alpha+1}$  is in N, we may assume  $p_{\alpha+1} \in N$ .

Let  $g = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} g_{\alpha}$ . Then g is a bijection from  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} F_{\alpha}$  to  $\omega_1$ . Let q be a lower bound for  $\langle p_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ . We claim that q is  $(N, \mathbb{P})$ -generic. To prove this, fix  $t \leq q$ and  $\xi < \omega_1$ . We show that t is compatible with an element of  $N \cap D_{\xi}$ . To do this,

we will construct sequences  $\langle t_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ ,  $\langle \rho_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle \tau_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  such that:

- $\langle t_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  is a decreasing sequence of conditions from  $\mathbb{P}_{\gamma}$  and  $t_{\alpha}$  is a greatest lower bound of  $\langle t_{\beta} \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle$  if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal.
- $\langle \rho_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  is an increasing, continuous sequence of countable ordinals, with  $\rho_{\alpha} \geq \alpha$ .
- For  $\alpha < \alpha' < \omega_1, \, \tau_\alpha : F_\alpha \to {}^{\rho_\alpha} 2$  and, for  $\beta \in F_\alpha, \, \tau_\alpha(\beta) \subseteq \tau_{\alpha'}(\beta)$ .
- For  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $\beta \in F_\alpha$ ,  $t_\alpha \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash "t_\alpha(\beta) = \tau_\alpha(\beta)"$ .
- For  $\alpha < \omega_1, t_{\alpha} \in \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} D_{\beta}$ .

Let  $t_0 = t$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, it is clear how to proceed. Suppose  $\alpha = \zeta + 1$  and  $t_{\zeta}, \rho_{\zeta}$ , and  $\tau_{\zeta}$  have been defined. Let  $t_{\alpha}^* \leq t$  be such that  $t^* \in D_{\alpha}$ . Apply Lemma 0.1 to  $t_{\alpha}^*$  and  $F_{\alpha}$  to get  $t_{\alpha}' \leq t_{\alpha}^*$ ,  $\{\rho_{\alpha,\beta} \mid \beta \in F_{\alpha}\}$  and  $\{s_{\beta} \mid \beta \in F_{\alpha}\}$  such that, for all  $\beta \in F_{\alpha}$ ,  $s_{\beta} : \rho_{\alpha,\beta} \to 2$  and  $t_{\alpha}' \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash "t_{\alpha}'(\beta) = s_{\beta}"$ . We can then find  $\rho_{\alpha} \geq \alpha$  greater than all of the  $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}$ 's. and arbitrarily extend all of the  $s_{\beta}$ 's to be functions  $\tau_{\alpha}(\beta)$  in  $\rho_{\alpha}$ . We can then define  $t_{\alpha+1} \leq t_{\alpha}'$  as desired.

At the end of this construction, let  $X = \{(g(\beta), \delta) \mid \beta \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} F_\alpha, \ \delta < \omega_1,$  and, for all  $\alpha$  such that  $\beta \in F_\alpha$  and  $\delta < \rho_\alpha$ ,  $(\tau_\alpha(\beta))(\delta) = 1\}$ .  $X \subseteq \omega_1 \times \omega_1$ . Note that the set of limit ordinals  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $\eta_\alpha = \rho_\alpha = \alpha$  is club. Let  $\alpha > \xi$  in this club be such that  $X \cap (\alpha \times \alpha) = A_\alpha$ . Note that, working through the definitions, this implies that  $\tau_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha$ . Thus,  $t_\alpha \leq q \leq p_\alpha$ ,  $t_\alpha \in \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta$  and, for all  $\beta \in F_\alpha$ ,  $t_\alpha \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash \text{"}t_\alpha(\beta) = \sigma_\alpha(\beta)\text{", so, in our construction of }p_{\alpha+1}$ , we answered our question positively and thus were in the non-trivial case. Now, noting that  $t_\alpha \leq p_{\alpha+1}$ , it is easily verified that, in fact,  $t_\alpha \leq r_\alpha$  (simply check by induction on  $\beta \in \text{dom}(r_\alpha) = \text{dom}(p_{\alpha+1})$  that  $t_\alpha \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash \text{"}t_\alpha(\beta) \leq r_\alpha(\beta)\text{"}$ ). But  $r_\alpha \in N \cap \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta$ , so  $r_\alpha \in N \cap D_\xi$ , so we have demonstrated that t is compatible with an element of  $N \cap D_\xi$ , thus completing the proof.

## References

[1] Akihiro Kanamori. Perfect-set forcing for uncountable cardinals. *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 19(1):97–114, 1980.